

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		MATION NO:	
10/016,001	12/10/2001	Ynjiun P. Wang	T075A 3855		3855	
7	590 02/02/2004		EXAM	INER		
Daniel R. McGlynn			JEANTY, ROMAIN			
Telxon Corporation			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI		D NIIMBED	
One Symbol Plaza MS: A-6 Holtsville, NY 11742-1300				TAI ER NOMBER		
Housville, NY	11/42-1300	3623				

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	an No	Alicent(s)	\sim				
•	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	/)				
	10/016,00	01	WANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin I		Art Unit					
	Romain J		3623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no every eply within the stat d will apply and w ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	November 2	<u>003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is n	on-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 16-23 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 16-23</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b)	\square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the from 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the second secon	nts have beents have beents have been iority documenau (PCT Rulest of the certistic priority united sentences provisional apstic priority united by the sentences of the sentenc	n received. In received in Application received in Application to the	on No ed in this National and the control of	application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413) Paper No.	-1				
1) \(\text{\text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)}} \) 2) \(\text{\text{\text{Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)}} \) 3) \(\text{\text{\text{Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)}} \)	·	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						





Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Non-final Office Action is in response to the request for reconsideration filed November 16, 2003. The prior office action of paper number 13 has been withdrawn. Claims 1-4 and 16-23 are still pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudetz et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,978,773) in view of Dialog (Home Centers).

As to claims 1 and 3, Hudetz discloses:

Providing the customer with a bar code symbol reader (Col. 5, lines 1-5).

Scanning a uniform product code (UPC) bar code symbol (col. 8, lines 38-43); and

Providing an associated table in a database between the UPC symbol data and an Internet web site address affiliated with the product manufacturer (Col. 7, lines 17-28 and Col. 7, lines 64 through Col. 8, line 10);

Providing the associated web site address to a remote computing device of the consumer for allowing the consumer to make a product information inquiry to said web site address (e.g.,

Art Unit: 3623

displaying a web page having a URL for the user to click on to make a product inquiry)(Col. 7, lines 45-57).

Hudetz does not explicitly disclose providing demographic information about the consumer to the product manufacturer by utilizing the information inquiry. Dialog on the other hand, discloses a marketing system that provides manufacturer "retailer" with customer demographic information (Note entire page). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Hudetz et al to include the teachings of Dialog. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use such combination in order to allow the manufacturer to achieve its volume and profit sales.

As per claim 2, Hudetz et al discloses the bar code reader being provided at the user's terminal (see figure 1).

As per claims 16 and 21, Hudetz et al discloses:

Scanning identifying indicia on a product with a barcode (UPC) bar code symbol (col. 8, lines 38-43); and

Determining at least one web site address affiliated with the scanned product utilizing a Mapping Service Provider (See figure 8 element 224; col. 9, lines 14-22).

Providing product information to the consumer by requesting and loading a web page associated with the at least one web site address (See figure 3, element 92 and col. 9, lines 54-64).

Hudetz et al do not explicitly disclose transmitting demographic information about the consumer to the product manufacturer by utilizing information transferred within the web page request. Dialog on the other hand, discloses a marketing system that provides manufacturer

Art Unit: 3623

"retailer" with customer demographic information (Note entire page). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Hudetz et al to include the teachings of Dialog. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use such combination in order to allow the manufacturer to achieve its volume and profit sales.

As per claim 17, Hudetz et al further disclose wherein the identifying indicia is a uniform product code (UPC) (col. 6, lines 15-20).

As per claim 18, Hudetz et al further disclose wherein the Mapping Service Provider (MSP) employs a mapping function to match identifying indicia to a web site address from among a list of identifying indicia and web site address mappings residing in a storage device (col. 7, lines 29-42; col. 8, lines 47-63; col. 9, lines 5-13).

As per claim 19, Hudetz et al further disclose wherein the web page includes at least one link to a related web page (col. 9, lines 14-22).

As per claim 20, Hudetz et al show the domain name for the links. Thus, it is inherent that the domain name must go through a domain name server to translate it into the proper numerical addressing sequence use by the Internet (see figure 4; col. 5, lines 55-65).

As per claims 22-23, Hudetz et al disclose:

Scanning identifying indicia on a product with a barcode (UPC) bar code symbol (col. 8, lines 38-43); and

Determining at least one web site address affiliated with the scanned product utilizing a Mapping Service Provider (See figure 8 element 224; col. 9, lines 14-22).

Art Unit: 3623

Providing product information to the consumer by requesting and loading a web page associated with the at least one web site address (See figure 3, element 92 and col. 9, lines 54-64).

Hudetz et al do not explicitly disclose transmitting demographic information about the consumer to the product manufacturer by utilizing information transferred within the web page request. Dialog on the other hand, discloses a marketing system that provides manufacturer "retailer" with customer demographic information (Note entire page). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Hudetz et al to include the teachings of Dialog. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use such combination in order to allow the manufacturer to achieve its volume and profit sales. Hudetz et al further show the domain name for the links. Thus, it is inherent that the domain name must go through a domain name server to translate it into the proper numerical addressing sequence use by the Internet (see figure 4; col. 5, lines 55-65).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudetz et al and Dialog as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kaplan (U.S. Patent No. 5,963,916).

As per claim 4, the combination of Hudetz et al and Dialog does not explicitly disclose providing targeted e-mails to the consumer for product announcements by the manufacturer.

Kaplan on the other hand, discloses sending a product notification to a user. Note column 16, lines 16-26. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hudetz et al and Powell by including an e-mail notification as taught by Dialog. The motivation being to encourage a user to purchase certain desired products from the manufacturer thereby increasing marketing sales for the manufacturer.

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Adams (Dialog: Category dynamic: What suppliers must do) discloses wherein retailers design promotional programs for their stores.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (703) 308-9585. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R Hafiz can be reached at (703) 305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C 20231

or faxed to (703) 305-7687

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Seventh floor receptionist.

Romain Jeanty

Patent Examiner

January 24, 2004